SYSTEM AND METHODS FOR AUTOMATIC NEGOTIATION IN DISTRIBUTED COMPUTING

Technical Field

5   **[0001]**   This invention relates to distributed computation and to data communication networks on which distributed computation is performed. The invention relates generally to the negotiation of parameters between different communicating entities. The entities may be devices, or components of devices, which communicate with one another. The

10   invention may be applied to the configuration of networked devices to permit the devices to work in cooperation with one another. Some aspects of the invention have particular application to internet protocol (IP) networks.

15   ## Background

    [0002]   In distributed computing, different entities, may be required to negotiate parameters with one another. The parameters may represent resources, requirements, data on which computations are to be based, or

20   the like. A typical negotiation progresses through a number of stages. Each stage involves the exchange of one or more parameters between the negotiating entities. If the negotiation is successfully concluded then, at the end of the negotiation, at least one of the entities will have obtained from the other a set of one or more parameters required or useful for

25   performing some function.

    [0003]   One example of distributed computing is the configuration of networked devices. A typical computer network includes a number of devices which can communicate with one another using one or more

30   communication protocols. The network may be a wired network, in which data is carried between the devices by electrical wires or optical fibers. The network may also be a wireless network, in which data is carried by way of signals which pass through the air. A wireless network

may use radio signals, infrared signals or even sonic signals to carry data between devices.

[0004]   Each device on a network typically has an address which other devices can use to direct communications to it. When a new device is added to a network then the device must typically be configured to work in the network. Reconfiguration may be required if networked devices are moved from place to place. Configuration (or reconfiguration) typically involves setting parameters such as: IP addresses, host names, domain names, router addresses, gateway addresses, name server addresses. Configuration may also involve configuring a hardware or software firewall to allow data required for operation of the new device to pass through the firewall. Firewall configuration may require specific ports or protocols to be enabled in the firewall. Configuration may also involve setting parameters to permit secure access to network resources.

[0005]   Today's devices communicate with other devices in sophisticated ways which can require complicated configuration. According to the current state of the art, with the limited exception of IP addresses, which are discussed below, configuration is generally performed manually. While this is a complex and time consuming task in networks of all sizes, it is particularly troublesome in managing small home or office networks where dedicated configuration staff are not available.

[0006]   There have been various attempts to provide distributed systems in which parameters are negotiated automatically between different entities. One approach to the negotiation of parameters between entities is to create application-specific software which can be executed at each entity. The application-specific software coordinates negotiation

of the required parameters. The inventors have recognized that this approach is inefficient because software must be written specifically to handle each negotiation. Apart from being expensive and time consuming, this increases the risk that the software may include errors

5   which make systems which include such software less robust than would be desired.

[0007]   Application-specific hardware may also be provided. This tends to involve undesirably large development costs and is typically not

10   flexible enough to accommodate change.

[0008]   There are various examples of application-specific software for making networks and networked devices self-configuring, to at least some degree. For example, Horie et al. U.S. patent No. 5,991,828

15   discloses a method for automatically setting address information and network environment information in portable devices which may be added to a computer network. The information to be set for each device can include an IP address, host name, IP address of a gateway, sub-net mask information etc. In the Horie et al. system, a "setting device"

20   manages addresses etc. on the network. When a device (a "setting-needed" device) is first added to the network it sends out a message requesting address information and network environment information. The setting device generates a reply which contains the required information. The setting-needed device then stores this

25   information so that it can operate. The Horie et al. system is specifically directed to setting addresses and network environment information.

[0009]   Krivoshein et al., U.S. patent No. 5,980,078 discloses a process control system for use, for example, in a chemical plant. The

30   system includes a network to which specialized process-related digital devices can be connected. The devices may include controllers for

valves, motors, and the like. The network includes a control device which provides a newly-connected device with initial information sufficient to communicate with the control system. The connected device can then upload device information and control parameters to the control

5   system. A user can then commission the connected device by configuring the device to operate within the overall control scheme of the digital control system. This system requires a user to actively participate in the commissioning of each device.

10   **[0010]**   There have been other attempts to provide systems which can be used as frameworks for developing distributed systems. Some examples of these systems are described below. In general, these systems require expert developers to develop the systems in question. The resulting systems are not automatically robust.

15

[0011]   Adije-Winoto *The design and implementation of an intentional naming system* Operating Systems Review **34**(5):186-201, December, 1999, discloses a proposed resource discovery and service location system suitable for use on dynamic and mobile networks of

20   devices and computers. The system provides a language which allows nodes that provide a service to describe the service they provide and nodes which require services to describe the services that they require. A number of resolvers receive periodic advertisements from services to discover names.

25

[0012]   Microsoft's "Universal Plug and Play" (UPnP) architecture (described at http://www.upnp.org). Describes an infrastructure in which devices each have a Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) client. The DHCP client permits the device to receive an Internet

30   Protocol (IP) address from a DHCP server when the device is first connected to the network. UPnP provides mechanisms for devices to

discover services available on a network and to exchange information specific to those services.

[0013]   Sun's Jini ™ technology (as described, for example, in *JINI Architectural Overview - Technical White Paper* Sun Microsystems Inc., 1999 which is available on the Internet at the URL http://www.sun.com/jini/whitepapers/architecture.pdf) provides an infrastructure based upon JAVA for allowing services and clients to discover and connect with one another. The network has one or more lookup services. When a service is plugged into a network of Jini technology-enabled services and/or devices, it advertises itself by publishing a Java programming language object that implements the service API. This object's implementation can work in any way the service chooses. The client finds services by looking for an object that supports the API. When it gets the service's published object, it will download any code it needs in order to talk to the service, thereby learning how to talk to the particular service implementation via the API.

[0014]   Infrastructures such as UPnP, JINI and the intentional naming system described by Adije-Winoto make configuration tasks easier but do not eliminate them.

[0015]   A problem with some prior self-configuring systems is that, under various circumstances, contention between various services for resources can cause one or more services to be starved for resources and unable to function properly or at all. Deadlock situations in which a group of two or more services each require access to resources provided by other ones of the group of two or more services can also occur. In such cases a network device can "hang" and fail to work properly.

[0016]   A problem with some self-configuring systems is that they are too specific. While systems like DHCP permit the dynamic allocation of IP addresses they are not able to configure other resources on a computer system.

5

[0017]   There is a need for a general system and methods for facilitating negotiations in a distributed computing environment. There is a particular need for such systems which are robust. One area in which this need is strong is in the field of configuring network services. There

10   is a particular need for such methods which are general and provide for substantially complete automatic configuration.

Summary of the Invention

15   [0018]   This invention provides methods and apparatus for conducting negotiations in distributed computing environments. Some specific embodiments of the invention provide methods and apparatus for negotiating the provision of functionality by one service to another service. While the invention has useful application in the field of

20   configuring computer networks its application is not limited to this field. The invention may be used in the automatic configuration of any kind of service which provides functionality to another service and, more generally as a framework for negotiating parameters or resources in distributed systems.

25

[0019]   Accordingly, one aspect of the invention provides a computer-implemented method for conducting a negotiation. The negotiation comprises an exchange of messages between first and second entities. The method comprises providing a finite state machine

30   associated with the first entity, the finite state machine having a plurality of states; maintaining the finite state machine in one of its states

matching a stage of a negotiation between the first and second entities; and, at the first entity conducting a negotiation with the second entity by exchanging messages with the second entity, each of the messages comprising an external aspect containing information determined by a current state of the finite state machine and an internal aspect. Preferred embodiments of the method include receiving at the first entity a message from the second entity, the message comprising an external aspect and an internal aspect and providing the external aspect of the message as input to the finite state machine.

[0020]   In specific embodiments of the invention the first and second entities may comprise two services on a computer network and the negotiation may involve the exchange of parameters to permit one of the services to provide functionality to the other one of the services.

[0021]   Preferably the finite state machine has a set of transition functions:

$\delta(q_0, \epsilon) = q_0;$

$\delta(q, \epsilon) = q\text{-}2 \text{ if } 2 \le q;$

$\delta(q, m) = m\text{+}1 \text{ if } 0 \le m \le q\text{+}1 \text{ and } m \le 2n;$

$\delta(q, m) = q \text{ otherwise};$

$\lambda(q_0, \epsilon) = q_0;$

$\lambda(q, \epsilon) = q\text{-}2 \text{ if } 2 \le q.$

$\lambda(q, m) = m\text{+}1 \text{ if } 1 \le m \le q\text{+}1 \text{ and } m \le 2n; \text{ and,}$

$\lambda(q, m) = \epsilon \text{ otherwise}$

with $Q = \Sigma = \Delta = \{0, 1, \ldots, 2n\text{+}1\}$ where Q is a finite set of states, q_0 is an initial one of the Q states, Σ is a finite input alphabet, Δ is an output alphabet; $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$ is a transition function, λ is a mapping from $Q \times \Sigma$ to Δ and ϵ represents an empty input.

[0022]   In preferred embodiments the method includes periodically providing an ϵ input to the finite state machine. This may be used to provide a leasing mechanism.

5   [0023]   Another aspect of the invention relates to a method performed in a computer system comprising a plurality of entities including first and second entities and one or more data communication channels by way of which the entities can exchange messages with one another. The method permits the first entity to obtain a sequence of sets

10   of one or more parameters from the second entity. The method comprises:

a)   providing first and second finite state machine at the first and second entities respectively, each of the finite state machines having a plurality of states including an initial state and a final

15   state;

b)   setting a current state of the first finite state machine to the initial state;

c)   generating a message comprising an external aspect and an internal aspect, the external aspect determined by the current state of the

20   finite state machine, the internal aspect containing information specifying a next set of required parameters; and,

d)   sending the message to the second entity.

[0024]   Preferably the method comprises subsequently receiving at

25   the first entity a response message from the second entity. The response message comprises both an external aspect and an internal aspect. The external aspect is determined by a current state of the second finite state machine. The internal aspect comprises the next set of required parameters. The method comprises providing the external aspect of the

30   response message as input to the first finite state machine and passing the internal aspect of the message to a computational part of the first entity.

[0025] A yet further aspect of the invention provides a networkable device comprising a service and a resource allocation component. The resource allocation component comprises a finite state machine having a plurality of possible states including an initial state and a final state. The resource allocation component is configured to make available a resource to the service when the finite state machine is in the final state.

[0026] Yet another aspect of the invention provides a resource allocation component for networking a device on a data communication network. The resource allocation component comprises a service cache and a finite state machine corresponding to a service of the device. The finite state machine has a plurality of possible states including an initial state and a final state. The resource allocation component is configured to move the finite state machine to another state upon receiving a message from a corresponding finite state machine and moving the finite state machine to a final state upon receiving a message confirming the availability of a resource needed by the service.

[0027] Further features and advantages of the invention are set out below.

Brief Description of Drawings

[0028] In Figures which illustrate non-limiting embodiments of the invention,

Figure 1 is a schematic view of an example computer network according to the invention;

Figure 2 is a block diagram of certain components of a networkable device according to the invention;

Figure 3 is a block diagram of elements of a core;

Figure 4, illustrates states through which two finite state machines pass while conducting a negotiation for the provision of functionality by a service exporting functionality to another service importing the functionality; and,

5   Figure 5 illustrates a general negotiation process according to the invention.

Description

[0029]   Throughout the following description, specific details are
10   set forth in order to provide a more thorough understanding of the invention. However, the invention may be practiced without these particulars. In other instances, well known elements have not been shown or described in detail to avoid unnecessarily obscuring the invention. Accordingly, the specification and drawings are to be
15   regarded in an illustrative, rather than a restrictive, sense.

[0030]   This invention has general application to the facilitation of negotiations of parameters (including resources) in distributed computing systems. The following description begins by describing an example a
20   system in which the invention is applied to the configuration of services in a computer network. The invention is not limited to the configuration of network services. A more general discussion of the invention is described below with reference to Figure 5.

25   [0031]   Figure 1 shows a simple computer network 10 according to the invention which permits communications between a number of devices 12A through 12G. Network 10 carries data by way of one or more suitable communication protocols. The protocols may be currently known and used protocols such as TCP/IP, higher level protocols as
30   specified by Microsoft ™ Plug and Play or Sun ™ JINI™ but the invention is not limited to such protocols. Other protocols may also be

used. The network may be wired or wireless and may use any technology for carrying messages between devices **12A** through **12G**.

[0032]   Various services **14** reside on network **10**. In general, a service is something that, on request, can provide certain functionality or information by way of network **10**. For example, DNS, DHCP and HTTP are services. A service is typically implemented by providing a software component which runs on a processor, which may be a general purpose processor or an embedded processor, in a network-connected device. In this invention services are preferably implemented in a manner which exports methods, events and properties. One can execute methods, to access parameters and to subscribe to events that the service provides. The collection of methods events and properties provided by a service may be called the functionality of the service. For a service to work properly, it must be properly configured. Configuration involves setting one or more configuration parameters of the service.

[0033]   This invention may be applied to extend the functionality provided by existing services or to provide new services in order to allow devices to configure themselves and to assist in the configuration of the rest of network **10**. This process may be viewed as the negotiation and leasing of configuration resources between peers.

[0034]   Each of devices **12A** through **12G** (such devices are referred to generally as devices **12**) provides one or more services **14**. Functionality provided by one of services **14** may be used by other services on network **10**. To make network **10** self-configuring, each such device **12** is structured as illustrated in Figure 2. Each service **14** is associated with a configuration assistant component (CAC) **16**. CAC **16** provides an extension to the service which facilitates the automatic configuration of the associated services. CAC **16** provides a mechanism

whereby the service **14** can obtain information from other services **14** regarding the values that its own configuration parameters should have to permit the service to work properly together with the rest of network **10**. CAC **16** also provides a mechanism for assisting other services **14**

5   associated with other CACs **16** to obtain values for their own configuration parameters. The same type of CAC **16** may be used for any service **14**. CAC's **16** separate the formal aspect of conducting negotiations related to the provision of functionality from technical details regarding the functionality which is the subject of the negotiation.

10

[0035]   CAC **16** comprises a service interface **18**, a core **20**, and a protocol interface **22**. Service interface **16** passes messages from service **14** to core **20** for communication to other devices and directs messages received by core **20** from other services **14** to the service **14** associated

15   with the CAC **16**. Service interface **18** may also provide a layer of translation between the messages used by each service and standardized messages which core **20** is able to deal with. If so, this part of service interface **18** is specific to particular services **14**.

20   [0036]   Protocol interface **22** directs communications to other services **14** by way of one or more protocols **24**. Protocol interface **22** also receives messages from one or more protocols **24** and directs them to core **20**.

25   [0037]   Core **20** manages the import and export of configuration information relating to the associated service **14**. Preferably all cores **20** are substantially the same. A main purpose of core **20** is to configure services **14**. Configuring a service typically involves a negotiation process during which the service secures access to various resources that

30   it needs. In general, the resources are functionality provided by other services.

[0038]   The negotiation typically comprises a number of stages. For example, in the preferred embodiment of the invention, negotiation comprises a discovery phase during which one or more other services capable of providing the required resource are identified; an authentication phase during which the identity of the service requesting access to the resource is verified; an authorization phase during which the service requesting access to the resource acquires permission to access the resource; and an acquisition phase during which the service requesting access to the resource is granted access to the resource and finishes configuring itself to access the resource.

[0039]   As the services **14** of network **10** become configured, communication channels are established between each service which exports functionality and the service(s) which import that functionality. The communication channels may be considered to extend between the cores **20** associated with the exporting and importing services. Each core **20** manages configuration objects. Each configuration object specifies configuration information for exported or imported functionality associated with a service. Imported configuration objects are leased to local services (i.e. services associated with the same device as core **20**). Exported configuration objects may be leased to local services or remote services (i.e. services associated with a different device from core **20**).

[0040]   As shown in Figure 3, core **20** comprises a service cache **32** and one or more finite state machines **30**. A finite state machine **30** is provided for each communication channel that terminates at the core **20**. Each of the communication channels extends between cores **20**. Each core **20** includes a finite state machine associated with each communication channel terminating at that core **20**. A finite state machine **30** is provided for each service that is providing functionality to

another service and for each service that is importing functionality from another service.

[0041]	Each finite state machine **30** is initialized in a state which depends upon whether the finite state machine corresponds to an export of functionality or an import of functionality. A finite state machine **30** can change to other states in response to certain events, as described below. A finite state machine **30** which begins in its initial state can reach another state only by passing through all other states intermediate the initialization state and the state reached.

[0042]	Finite state machines **30** regulate the negotiation process. As described below, finite state machines **30** can be used to implement leasing of resources for specified periods. An architecture which uses finite state machines **30** according to the invention may also be used in the detection and avoidance of deadlock and starvation conditions. This document uses basic definitions and notation for describing finite automata from the text by J.E. Hopcroft and J.D. Ullman entitled *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages and Computation*, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, 1988 which is hereby incorporated by reference herein. An explanation of the theory which this invention applies is found in Appendix "A".

[0043]	Figure 4, is a transition diagram which illustrates the states through which two finite state machines **30A** and **30B** pass while conducting a negotiation for the provision of functionality by a service **14B-1** associated with finite state machine **30B** to a service **14A-1** associated with finite state machine **30A**. Finite state machine **30A** corresponds to a service **14A-1**. Finite state machine **30B** corresponds to a service **14B-1**. In this example, service **14A-1** is hosted on a device **12A** and service **14B-1** is hosted on a device **12B**. Finite state machine

30B initializes to a state "0" as it represents an export of functionality. Finite state machine 30A initializes to a state "1" as it represents an import of functionality.

[0044]   In a negotiation involving two finite state machines 30 the output of one finite state machine 30 is provided as input to the other finite state machine 30. Each finite state machine 30 changes to its next state in response to the receipt of a message from another finite state machine 30 with which it is negotiating. The negotiation is considered to be successful if each of finite state machines 30 reaches its final state.

[0045]   In this example, the states of finite machines 30 are represented by integers. Accessible states for finite state machine 30B are represented by even integers $0, 2, 4, ..., 2n$. Accessible states for finite state machine 30A are represented by odd integers $1, 3, 5, ..., 2n+1$.

[0046]   In a successful negotiation the states of finite state machines 30 representing the functionality importer and the functionality exporter both increase by twos until each is in a final state. In the process of the negotiation the internal aspect of the messages provides information which cores 20 use to build a configuration object for the functionality being exported or imported. In preferred embodiments of the invention core 20 prevents a service 14 from using imported functionality unless the corresponding finite state machine 30 is in its final state. This may be done by blocking access to the configuration object associated with that imported functionality.

[0047]   When a finite state machine 30 receives a message it checks the external aspect of the message to see if the message is the correct message to cause a transition of the finite state machine to another state. For example, where the message comprises an XML schema it may

check the XML schema for validity. If the message is not valid or is missing required information, it is ignored. If the message is valid then core **20** forwards at least the internal aspect of the message to the associated service **14** via service interface **18** to determine whether the content of the internal aspect of the message is acceptable. If the internal aspect of the message is acceptable then core **20** causes finite state machine to move to its next state. If the internal aspect of the message is not acceptable then core **20** causes finite state machine to move to a lower state from which the negotiation can be continued.

[0048]   Finite state machines **30** may be realized as Moore machines, in which their outputs are determined by a state of the finite state machine **30** or as Mealy machines, in which their outputs are determined by the most recent transition of the finite state machine **30**. For every Moore machine realization there is an equivalent Mealy machine realization.

[0049]   For example, with reference to Figures 3 and 4, when a service **14A-1** is initialized it communicates with core **20** by way of service interface **18**. In response, core **20** instantiates finite state machine **30A** in state 1. Finite state machine **30A** initiates a negotiation for the importation of functionality required by service **14A-1** by sending a message **41** which is received by finite state machine **30B**. The internal aspect of message **41** specifies the functionality required by service **14A-1**. The external aspect of message **41** specifies that the message is of a type which should cause a 0/2 transition in finite state machine **30B**. In response to receiving message **41**, finite state machine **30B** forwards the internal aspect of message **41** to service **14B-1**. If service **14B-1** indicates that the internal aspect of message **41** is acceptable then finite state machine **30B** undergoes a transition from its initial state 0 to state 2.

[0050] The transition to state 2 causes finite state machine **30B** to generate a message **42** (which may include an internal aspect containing information from or related to service **14B-1**) and to send message **42** to finite state machine **30A**. This process is repeated until each of finite
5 state machines **30A** and **30B** is in a final state. In the embodiment illustrated in Figure 4, the final states are states "2n+1" and "2n" respectively. By the time that finite state machines **30A** and **30B** have reached their final states, they have exchanged all information necessary to permit service **14A-1** to avail itself of the functionality provided by
10 service **14B**-1 and the negotiation is successful.

[0051] To send messages to one another, finite state machines **30** must have some way to identify each other. In the preferred embodiment of the invention, each device **12** has a unique *deviceID*, each service **14**
15 has a *serviceID* which is unique to services in the device **12** hosting the service, each configuration object within a service has an *objectID* which uniquely identifies the configuration object within its service, and each protocol mechanism includes a unique *protocolID*. The union of these IDs uniquely identifies every configuration object in network **10**.
20

[0052] Each finite state machine **30** can be formally represented as a Mealy machine specified by a six-tuple $A = (Q, \Sigma, \Delta, \delta, \lambda, q_0)$, where Q is a finite set of states, Σ is a finite input alphabet, Δ is the output alphabet, $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$ is the transition function, λ is a mapping from
25 $Q \times \Sigma$ to Δ and, q_0 in Q is the initial state. Finite state machines **30** can preferably respond to empty inputs ϵ by undergoing a transition to an allowed state. This can be represented by defining the transition function δ as $\delta: Q \times \{\Sigma \cup \epsilon\} \rightarrow Q$.

30 **[0053]** The behavior of the interaction of a service, such as service **14A-1**, with its associated finite state machine **30A** can also be modeled

as a finite state machine. From the point of view of finite state machine **30B**, finite state machine **30A** behaves as if it were the sum of an external component $A_E = (Q_E, \Sigma_E, \Delta_E, \delta_E, \lambda_E, q_{0E})$ having reachable states which are a subset of the non-negative integers and a behavior as described above, and an internal component $A_I = (Q_I, \Sigma_I, \Delta_I, \delta_I, \lambda_I, q_{0I})$ having reachable states which are a subset of the non-positive integers. The outputs of these two finite state machines satisfy the conditions that: $\Sigma_E = \Sigma_I = \Delta_E = \Delta_I$ and, $Q_I \cup Q_E \subseteq Q_I + Q_E$.

[0054] The negative states of the internal component may be equated with error levels produced by the associated service. The zero state of the internal component may be equated with acceptance of the internal aspect of the message. The reachable states of the external component may be equated with the levels of the negotiation.

[0055] The sum $A_E + A_I$ is itself a finite automata having output $A_E + A_I = (Q, \Sigma, \Delta, \delta, \lambda, q_0)$ in which:

$Q = Q_I + Q_E$;

Σ is the Cartesian product of the two input alphabets, Σ_I and Σ_E;

Δ is the Cartesian product of the two output alphabets, Δ_I and Δ_E;

$q_0 = q_{0I} + q_{0E}$ is the sum of the initial states;

$\delta(q, m) = \delta(q, (m_I, m_E)) = \delta_I(q, m_I) + \delta_E(q, m_E)$; and,

$\lambda(q, m) = \lambda\delta(q, (m_I, m_E)) = (\lambda_I(\delta(q, m), m_I), \lambda(\delta(q, m), m_E)$.

The condition $\Sigma_I = \Sigma_E = \Delta_I = \Delta_E$ requires the input and output alphabets of each of the finite state machines to be the same. The last condition $Q_I \cup Q_E \subseteq Q_I + Q_E$, is satisfied, for instance, if $0 \in Q_I \cap Q_E$.

[0056] One can define finite state machine **30** so that:

$Q = \Sigma = \Delta = \{0, 1, \ldots, 2n+1\}$

$\delta(q_0, \epsilon) = q_0$;

$\delta(q, m) = m+1$ if $0 \leq m \leq q+1$ and $m \leq 2n$;

$\delta(q, m) = q$ otherwise;

$\lambda(q_0, \epsilon) = q_0;$

$\lambda(q, m) = m+1$ if $1 \leq m \leq q+1$ and $m \leq 2n$; and,

$\lambda(q, m) = \epsilon$ otherwise.

5   These conditions hold for all $q \in Q$ and all $m \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$.

[0057]   If this is done and if no error conditions are generated by the associated services then it can be seen that two finite state machines **30A** and **30B** starting from initial states of 1 and 0 respectively will each

10   negotiate as shown in Figure 4 until they are in their final states **45A** and **45B**. With these transition functions, if a finite state machine receives from another finite state machine an output corresponding to an erroneously high state (as could occur, for example, if the finite state machine fails and restarts while the other remains in a higher state) then

15   the finite state machine is prevented from jumping to the high state. The added condition $m \leq q+1$ prevents jumps to a high state. If a finite state machine receives from another finite state machine an output corresponding to a lower state (as could occur, for example, if the other finite state machine is restarted for some reason) then the finite state

20   machine may regress to a lower level. If the internal computation is successful then the negotiation can progress incrementally to higher states. If the internal computation returns a negative result then the negotiation does not progress to a higher level and may regress to a lower level.

25

[0058]   This structure has a number of benefits. One is that the system can be readily made to automatically accommodate the failure of a component or communication link. One way to accomplish this is to provide an ϵ - transition which is executed at various times by finite state

30   machines **30**. Preferably the ϵ-transition is executed periodically. The occurrence of the ϵ-transition causes finite state machine **30** to return to

an earlier state as indicated by line **47**. In a preferred embodiment of the invention, occurrence of the ϵ-transition causes the state of finite state machine **30** to go down by two (i.e. the ϵ-transition causes the finite state machine **30** to change to its next lower state). This can be represented by the following transition functions for which $Q=\Sigma=\Delta=\{0,1,2,\ldots,2n+1\}$:

$\delta(q, \epsilon) = $ q-2 if $2 \le q$;

$\delta(q, m) = m+1$ if $1 \le m \le q+1$ and $m \le 2n$;

$\delta(q, m) = q$ otherwise;

$\lambda(q_0, \epsilon) = q_0$;

$\lambda(q, \epsilon) = q-2$ if $2 \le q$;

$\lambda(q, m) = m+1$ if $1 \le m \le q+1$ and $m \le 2n$; and,

$\lambda(q, m) = \epsilon$ otherwise.

[0059]   It can be seen that upon a failure of a link which carries communications between finite state machines **30A** and **30B**, each of the finite state machines will gradually time-out to its initial state. If a link fails temporarily and then resumes operation then finite state machines **30A** and **30B** can commence negotiating back toward their final states **45A** and **45B** from the states that they are in when the communication link is reestablished.

[0060]   Because of the incremental nature of the negotiation, two negotiating parties will always fall back to the earliest appropriate stage of negotiation. For example, assume that the ϵ-transitions have moved each of two finite state machines **30** involved in a complex multi-stage negotiation back several steps due to a link failure. When the link is re-established, the two finite state machines **30** will send each other information about their current states. The finite state machine **30** with the higher state will immediately move to the appropriate lower state as defined by the foregoing transition function in order to re-start negotiation.

[0061]   Providing periodic ε-transitions also provides a leasing mechanism. When a finite state machine **30A** is in its final state **45A** the ε-transitions periodically cause it to fall into a previous state. As a result, access to the resource must be periodically renegotiated. Each time a timer expires and executes an ε-transition, the negotiation steps toward its initial state. If both negotiating parties are alive, this transition will simply force a re-negotiation of the last stage. If one of the finite state machines **30** or **30A** is not accessible from the other (e.g., due to a component or link failure), the remaining finite state machine will begin a march toward its initial state. If a state machine reaches its initial state, the "lease" can be considered to have expired.

[0062]   The system can cause service caches **31** to contain the addresses of functionality exporters and importers on network **10** by causing the messages **41**, and **41A** generated from the initial states of finite state machines to be broadcast messages which are received and cached by all cores **20** on network **10**. For example, message **41** may comprise an XML requirements schema specifying the type of functionality which a service requires to import. Messages **41A** may comprise an XML schema specifying the type of functionality that a service has available for export. Preferably service caches **31** contain preferentially the addresses of functionality exporters and importers which are currently available. Cores **20** maintain service caches **31**. Records in service caches **31** may be erased periodically or may be erased upon an unsuccessful attempt to negotiate a connection to a service associated with such a record.

[0063]   Two conditions which can adversely affect the performance of a computer network are deadlock and starvation. Starvation occurs when a service requires a resource which is not available, either because

it is not present or because it is always being used by some other service. Deadlock can occur when there is a cyclic dependency between two or more services.

5 **[0064]**   If every functionality importer maintains a record of all functionality exporters in its service cache **31** and if every functionality importer has a non-zero probability of selecting each functionality exporter then starvation is possible only if there is no potential provider for a service. A system according to the invention may be constructed so

10 as to facilitate the detection of starvation. Starvation can be detected by causing each exporter of functionality to cache all potential consumers of its functionality and vice-versa. If an importer of functionality has a cache empty of providers capable of providing a required service the importer will be starved. The functionality importer can detect this

15 condition by examining its service cache **31**.

[0065]   Even if there is a possible provider of service in the cache then the importer could be starved if the service in question were monopolized by some other importer. However, if the invention is

20 implemented as described below such that each negotiation eventually times out and each service selects other services to negotiate with on the basis of a random draw, then it can be guaranteed that any cached service will become available eventually.

25 **[0066]**   Deadlock can be detected by computing for an entire system the sum:

$$r \; = \; \sum_{q} \left\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \right\rfloor \qquad\qquad (1)$$

where q ranges over all finite state machines in the system and the square brackets indicate the integer part. If each pair of negotiating finite state

machines **30** implement *n* rounds of negotiation and there are a total of *m* pairs of negotiating finite state machines **30** then, when all of the finite state machines **30** associated with negotiations reach their final states, $r=2nm$. If $r <2nm$ for an extended period then it is likely that a deadlock

5   exists within the system. To facilitate the calculation of this sum, preferably each of cores **20** has an interface which makes accessible the value of *q* for each finite state machine **30** being maintained by that core or at least the sum of *q* for the finite state machines **30** being maintained by that core **20**.

10

[0067]   In the preferred embodiment of the invention, functionality importers randomly chose a service capable of providing the required functionality from the list of suitable services in their service cache **31**. If a functionality importer fails to acquire the needed resource from a

15   functionality exporter then it randomly chooses another functionality exporter from which to attempt to get the resource.

[0068]   Most preferably, service exporters also randomly choose the service importers to whom they will export services. With both service

20   importers and service exporters making randomized choices regarding with whom to negotiate, if there is a service exporter on the network capable of providing required functionality to a service importer then the exporter and importer will eventually be able to negotiate for the provision of the required functionality.

25

[0069]   In a preferred embodiment of the invention, when a service importer receives a level "0" message, it caches the message. When the service importer requires to import functionality it generates a level "1" message. The level "1" message is cached by service exporters. If a

30   service exporter is at level "0" and receives a level "1" message then it undergoes a transition to level "2" during which it selects a service

importer from its cache to attempt to negotiate with. A level "2" message is sent to the selected service importer. If that service importer still requires the service then it will be in level "1" and upon receipt of the level "2" message a negotiation will proceed between the service

5   exporter and the service importer. If the service importer no longer requires the functionality, either because it is negotiating with another service exporter or because its requirement for the functionality has passed then the service importer will not be in its level "1" state and the level "2" message from the service exporter will be ignored and cached.

10

[0070]   Further, in this preferred embodiment of the invention the ϵ-transition occasionally (with a frequency determined by the probability value of p) causes a transition back to the initialization state of each of finite state machines 30. With this combination of features deadlock and

15   starvation can be prevented (unless deadlock or starvation is inherent in the construction of the system). It can be shown that all possible combinations of functionality exporters and functionality importers will be tried at some point. Therefore, if there exists a configuration in which deadlock or starvation does not exist (i.e. if deadlock or starvation are

20   not inherent), the system will eventually find it.

[0071]   If a functionality importer has a choice of possible functionality exporters then improvements in performance may be achieved by adjusting the process for selecting a functionality exporter to

25   favor functionality exporters which are best suited to provide functionality to the particular functionality importer. For example, the value of a function d which represents a "distance" between the functionality importer and functionality exporter may be used to weight some potential functionality exporters more heavily than others. d may

30   represent, for example, a time for a packet to make a round trip between cores 20 associated with the functionality importer and the functionality

exporter. The probability **P** that a particular functionality provider B_j will be selected may be chosen to be:

$$P(B_j) = \cfrac{1}{d(A, B_j)\left(\sum_i \cfrac{1}{d(A, B_i)}\right)} \qquad (2)$$

where *A* represents a device in its initial state which is drawing from its cache to select a functionality exporter. Note that if there are *k* possible functionality providers to select from and if *d*=1 for each of them then the probability that a particular one of the functionality providers will be selected is 1/*k*. If *d* is not known for one or more possible functionality exporters then for such exporters *d* may be chosen to be a suitable positive constant, for example, 1. The selection function of equation (2) tends to bias selection in favor of closer functionality exporters.

[0072] The function which determines *d* also preferably takes into account which functionality exporters have previously provided functionality to the service importer. Most preferably, the value of *d* is selected so that, all other factors being equal, a service importer will more likely select a service exporter that it has previously successfully imported functionality from than a service exporter that it has not previously successfully imported services from. The value of *d* may also be selected so that a service importer will tend to be unlikely to select a service exporter with which it has previously unsuccessfully attempted to negotiate for the provision of functionality.

[0073] A distance function *d* may also be used by service exporters to select which service importers they will negotiate with.

[0074]   The values chosen for a number of parameters including the time intervals between ε-transitions, and the probability that an ε-transition will be to the initial state can affect the performance of a computer network. These parameters may be set to appropriate fixed

5   values. Preferably, however, the values of these parameters are dynamically adjusted. One way to make such dynamic adjustments is to provide a function which indicates whether the parameter in question should be increased or decreased. In response to the value of this function the parameter value can be increased or decreased by

10   appropriate amounts so that it converges to an optimum value. This may be achieved, for example, if a function Θ is available which indicates whether the parameter in question is larger or smaller than a given optimum value as follows:

$$\Theta(x) = -1 \text{ if } x > y;\ 0 \text{ if } x=y;\ 1 \text{ if } x < y$$

15   where x is the current value of a parameter and y is an optimum value for the parameter. This function can be used in computing an estimate of the unknown parameter x by beginning with an arbitrary value x_0 and then iterating through the following calculation until a suitable estimate has been obtained:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_n &= x_{n-1} + cv & \text{if} \quad &\Theta(x_{n-1}) > 0; \\
x_n &= x_{n-1} - \frac{v}{(c+1)} & \text{if} \quad &\Theta(x_{n-1}) < 0; \quad and, \quad (3) \\
x_n &= x_{n-1} & \text{if} \quad &\Theta(x_{n-1}) = 0
\end{aligned}
$$

20

where v and c are suitable chosen constants. For example, $v = -1$ and $c=2$ or $v=0.5$ and $c=1.3$. In general, v can be initialized to any non-zero value and c can be initialized to any value greater than 1.

25   [0075]   In the case of the time t between ε-transitions, one can observe that t should reflect the likelihood that a communication link failure will occur. For example, t should be inversely proportional to the

probability of the link failure. If immediately after an ε-transition which causes a finite state machine **30** to drop from its final state to an intermediate state (i.e. a state which is neither the initial state or the final state), the negotiation is successfully concluded with the same other

5   finite state machine **30** then t should be increased. Otherwise, t should be decreased. Increasing and decreasing t are preferably done in accordance with equation (3).

[0076]   Accordingly, in a preferred embodiment of the invention,

10   each CAC **16** maintains a value for t. A single value of t may be used for all instances of a finite state machine **30** associated with a CAC **16**. For each finite state machine **30**, CAC **16** maintains a record of at least the immediately previous historical connection to other finite state machines **30**. Each time an ε-transition causes a finite state machine **30** to drop into

15   an intermediate state, thereby causing a negotiation to regress, the finite state machine **30** attempts to renegotiate the connection with the same service. If this attempt at renegotiation is successful then CAC **16** increases t. If this attempt is unsuccessful and CAC eventually times out to its initial state and negotiates a connection to another service which

20   provides the required functionality then CAC **16** decreases t. CAC **16** preferably has a data store in which are specified maximum and/or minimum limits for t. If so then CAC **16** increases of decreased t only within the range permitted by the maximum and/or minimum limits.

25   [0077]   In the case of the probability p with which an ε-transition will cause a reversion to the initial state for a finite automaton **30**, one should recall that p was introduced in order to avoid deadlock and starvation. Therefore p is preferably proportional to probability that deadlock or starvation will occur. This means that if a finite state

30   machine **30** initialized and is subsequently able to immediately renegotiate a state with the same finite state machine to which it had

previously connected then p should be decreased. Otherwise, p should be increased. Increasing and decreasing p are preferably done in accordance with equation (3).

5   **[0078]**   Accordingly, in a preferred embodiment of the invention, each CAC **16** maintains a value for p for each instance of a finite state machine **30**. For each finite state machine **30**, CAC **16** maintains a record of at least one historical negotiation successfully concluded to other finite state machines **30**. Each time an ϵ-transition causes a finite state

10   machine **30** to be initialized, thereby breaking off a negotiation, the finite state machine **30** is initialized and subsequently negotiates a new connection to a service that provides the required functionality. The new connection may wind up being successfully negotiated with the same service involved in the broken negotiation or to a different service. CAC

15   **16** determines whether or not the new connection is negotiated with the same service involved in the broken negotiation. If the new connection is negotiated with the same service involved in the broken negotiation, as indicated by the information in the historical negotiation record, then p is increased. The increase may be by an incremental amount or an amount

20   determined by CAC **16**. Otherwise p is decreased by an incremental amount or an amount determined by CAC **16**. p, being a probability, is in the range of $0 < p \leq 1$. It may be desirable to specify a smaller range for p , in which case, CAC **16** may maintain specified maximum and/or minimum limits for p in a data store. If so then CAC **16** may prevent p

25   from being increased beyond a stored upper limit or decreased below a stored lower limit.

Example

30   **[0079]**   By way of example, the foregoing methods and apparatus may be applied to network configuration tasks such as the configuration

of a traffic management configuration object in a http service. Consider, for example, the case of a computer network which includes a gateway to the Internet. The gateway includes a http service. When the http service is initialized it initializes a corresponding finite state machine in level

5   "0". Upon initialization the finite state machine automatically broadcasts a level "0" message. The level "0" message includes information regarding the capabilities of the http service.

[0080]   The network also includes a device which hosts a service

10   that requires http services. In this example, the device is a web camera server. When the web camera server becomes initialized in a state which requires http services, it causes a corresponding finite state machine to be initialized in level "1". The finite state machine broadcasts its level "1" message which includes information describing the http services required

15   by the web camera server.

[0081]   The traffic manager service receives the level "1" message from the web camera server and caches it in a cache containing the identification of services which have requested http services. The traffic

20   manager service selects a service request from its cache of service requests. In this example, it selects the level "1" message from the web camera server. After verifying that it has the capability to service the request, the traffic manager stores information regarding the requested service in a configuration object and sends a result code to the

25   corresponding finite state machine. The traffic manager service's finite state machine undergoes a transition to its state "2". In state "2" the finite state machine automatically generates a level "2" response message which indicates that the http service has the configuration functionality sought by the web camera server.

30

[0082]   The web camera server receives the level "2" message. This causes its finite state machine to undergo a transition to level "3". The camera's finite state machine then automatically generates and sends its level "3" message which includes detailed configuration requirements.

5   For example, the level "3" message may include information which specifies that the web camera server needs to have all HTTP traffic destined for camera.armadillolabs.com forwarded to it.

[0083]   The level "3" message is received by the traffic management

10   service. The http service checks to see whether it can meet the detailed requirements in the level "3" message. If so, it stores information regarding the detailed requirements in the configuration object and issues a suitable result code to the corresponding finite state machine. In response, the finite state machine undergoes a transition to its fourth

15   level.

[0084]   Upon being initialized in the fourth level, the finite state machine generates a level "4" message which confirms that the requested resources have been allocated for use by the web camera service. Upon

20   receiving the level "4" message the web camera server checks to see that the service is still required and, if so generates a result code for the corresponding finite state machine. This causes the finite state machine at the web camera server to change into its 5th level.

25   [0085]   If the finite state machine of the http service times out, it will drop to its state 2 and re-send message "2" thereby forcing the web camera service to re-negotiate its configuration parameters. The time out effectively provides a lease having a duration which depends upon the current value for t.

30

[0086]   While the foregoing description has described network configuration as an example application of the methods and apparatus of this invention, those skilled in the art will understand that cores, as described herein, may be used as a general framework for negotiating
5   access to computational resources in a distributed computing environment. In such a case a core may be associated with each resource. Since the structure of each core is essentially independent of the details of what is being negotiated, the use of the invention facilitates the rapid creation and deployment of systems which involve automatically
10   executed negotiation for resources.

[0087]   The invention may be applied more generally in cases where there exist a number of entities in a distributed computing environment and a communication channel over which the entities can exchange
15   messages with one another. For example, take the case where a first one of the entities requires a succession of two or more sets of parameters from a second entity in order to perform some function. Assume that the acceptability of the parameters in one or more later sets of parameters depends somehow upon successful receipt of the one or more earlier sets
20   of parameters. Each of the series of transactions in which the first entity obtains the parameters that it requires from the second entity can be divided into an external aspect and an internal aspect. The external aspect relates to the position in the sequence of sets of parameters of the set of parameters which is currently being requested or supplied. The internal
25   aspect relates to the parameter(s) which are currently being requested or supplied. With this division one can see that a finite state machine as described above can be provided at each of the entities. The external aspect of the transaction can be an output from the finite state machine at one of the entities which is supplied as input to the finite state machine at
30   the other entity. The finite state machines can automatically moderate an incremental negotiation which, if it successfully reaches a final state, will

result in the sequence of required parameters being supplied to the first entity.

[0088]   Figure 5 illustrates a general method **100** according to the invention. The method is applied to a negotiation having N stages. For the negotiation to progress from a current stage to the next stage, an appropriate message must be received. The message must contain both appropriate internal information and the appropriate external information to trigger transition of the finite state machine to its next stage. Method **100** begins (step **102**) by providing a finite state machine having N states and a checker for checking the validity of the internal information received in a message. The checker may be, for example, integrated with service interface **18**. When provided with the internal information from a message the checker determines whether the internal information is acceptable and returns to core **20** a result code which indicates whether the internal information is or is not acceptable.

[0089]   Method **100** continues by placing the finite state machine to its initial state (step **104**). Upon finite state machine **30** entering a state, core **20** performs one or more initialization actions (step **106**). In the preferred embodiment the initialization actions include sending an outgoing message. Step **106** preferably includes obtaining internal information to be included in the message (step **106A**), identifying a recipient for the message (step **106B**) and formatting and sending the message to the recipient (step **106C**). The recipient may be a specific other entity, such as a device, service, group of other services or all other services (in which case the message may be sent as a broadcast message). Step **106B** may comprise randomly selecting a recipient from a service cache **31** associated with the core **20** as described above.

[0090] Method **100** continues with the reception of an incoming message (step **110**). The incoming message has both an internal aspect and an external aspect. Method **100** determines if both the internal aspect and the external aspect of the incoming message are appropriate to cause a transition to the next phase of the negotiation step **112**. If either the internal or external aspect of the message is not appropriate then the negotiation cannot proceed, and may regress. Step **112** checks the external aspect of the message (step **112A**).

[0091] Since the negotiation cannot proceed to higher levels unless the external aspect of the message contains the appropriate external information to cause finite state machine **30** to undergo a transition from its current state to a next higher state, step **112** can optionally end if step **112A** determines that the external aspect of the message does not contain the appropriate external information. Step **112B** forwards the internal aspect of the message to the checker. The checker responds with a result code which indicates whether the internal aspect of the message is complete and acceptable (step **112C**). If steps **112A** and **112C** both indicate that the incoming message is acceptable then finite state machine **30** undergoes a transition to its next higher state. Otherwise, finite state machine **30** either stays in its current state (and method **100** waits for another incoming message) or regresses to a state one or more levels lower than the current state. If in step **112** finite state machine **30** does not undergo a transition another state then finite state machine **30** will eventually time out, as described above.

[0092] If finite state machine **30** undergoes a transition then core **20** generates a message. The message comprises an external aspect determined by the state in which the transition has placed finite state machine **30**. The message also has an internal aspect supplied by a computational part of the first entity. The computational part receives

from core **20** information specifying the state in which the transition has placed finite state machine **30** and supplies appropriate information for inclusion in the internal aspect of the message.

5   **[0093]**   In the preferred embodiment of the invention the external information acceptable to cause finite state machine to undergo a transition to the next higher state are integers. Preferably the result code produced by the checker is an integer which is either zero or negative and the external aspect of the message also comprises an integer. In this case, 10   steps **112A** and **112C** may comprise, adding the result code produced by the checker to the integer in the external aspect of a received message and supplying the resulting sum as input to the finite state machine **30**. Depending upon the current state of the finite state machine **30** and the value of the resulting sum, finite state machine **30** will either: undergo a 15   transition to a next-higher state; not undergo a transition; or, undergo a transition to a lower state.

[0094]   Preferred implementations of the invention comprise computers running software instructions which cause the computers to 20   execute a method of the invention. The invention may also be provided in the form of a program product. The program product may comprise any medium which carries a set of computer-readable signals containing to instructions which, when run by a computer, cause the computer to execute a method of the invention. The program product may be in any 25   of a wide variety of forms. The program product may comprise, for example, physical media such as magnetic data storage media including floppy diskettes, hard disk drives, optical data storage media including CD ROMs, DVDs, electronic data storage media including ROMs, flash RAM, or the like or transmission-type media such as digital or analog 30   communication links.

[0095]   Since the overall modes of operation of the finite state machines used in the invention are independent of the particular application, the finite state machines may be implemented in software or hardware.

5

[0096]   As will be apparent to those skilled in the art in the light of the foregoing disclosure, many alterations and modifications are possible in the practice of this invention without departing from the spirit or scope thereof.

10

[0097]   Where a component (e.g. an assembly, device, circuit, layer etc.) is referred to above, unless otherwise indicated, reference to that component (including a reference to a "means") should be interpreted as a reference to any component which performs the function of the described component (i.e., that is functionally equivalent), including components which are not structurally equivalent to the disclosed structure which performs the function in the illustrated exemplary embodiments of the invention. Accordingly, the scope of the invention is to be construed in accordance with the substance defined by the following claims.

APPENDIX A

1   Introduction

5   This document gives a theoretical foundation for distributive computation. Distributive computation can be viewed as a computation based on external and internal data. We decompose the computation into an external (communication) part and an internal (computational) part. Moreover, we factor the communication part into communication channels. In particular, we create a simple and robust framework for the communication part

10   when the communication comprises incremental negotiation.

2   Finite Automata

In this section, we will give an overview of finite automata theory. The notations and

15   definitions are based on Chapter 2 of the book by Hopcroft, Ullman [1] .

2.1   Basic Definitions

A *finite automaton* (FA) consists of a finite set of states and a set of transitions from state to

20   state that occur on input symbols chosen from an alphabet Σ. For each input symbol there is exactly one transition out of each state. One state is the *initial state*, in which automaton starts. Some states are designated as *accepting* or *final* states.

A directed graph, called a *transition diagram*, is associated with a FA as follows. The vertices

25   of the graph correspond to the states of the FA. If there is a transition from state q to state p on input a, then there is an arc labeled a from state q to state p in the transition diagram. The FA accepts a string x if the sequence of transitions corresponding to the symbol of x leads from the start state to an accepting state.

30   We formally denote a finite automaton by a five-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, where Q is a finite set of states, Σ is a finite input alphabet, q_0 in Q is the initial state, F subset of Q is the set of final states, and $\delta\colon Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$ is the transition function.

2.2   Finite Automata with ε-Moves

We extend our model of finite automaton to include transitions on an empty input ε. We define a finite automaton with ε-moves to be a five-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ with all components

5   as before, but δ, the transition function, maps $Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\})$ to Q.

2.3   Finite Automata with Output

One limitation of finite automaton is that its output is limited to a binary signal:

10   "accept"/"reject". Models in which the output is chosen from some other alphabet have been considered. There are two distinct approaches. A finite automaton in which the output is associated with the state is called a Moore machine. A finite automaton in which the output is associated with a transition is called a Mealy machine.

15   A *Moore machine* can be represented as a six-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \Delta, \delta, \lambda, q_0)$, where $Q, \Sigma, \delta,$ and q_0 are as in FA. Δ is the output alphabet and $\lambda: Q \rightarrow \Delta$ is a mapping from Q to Δ giving the output associated with each state.

A *Mealy machine* can also be represented as a six-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \Delta, \delta, \lambda, q_0)$, where all symbols

20   are defined for a Moore machine, except that $\lambda: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow \Delta$ maps $Q \times \Sigma$ to Δ.

If $M_1 = (Q, \Sigma, \Delta, \delta, \lambda, q_0)$ is a Moore machine then there is a Mealy machine with ε-moves $M_2 = (Q, \Sigma, \Delta, \delta', \lambda', q_0)$ which is equivalent to M_1, where

25   $\delta'(q, \varepsilon) = q$, and $\delta'(q, a) = \delta(q, a)$ if $a \neq \varepsilon$,
$\lambda'(q, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon$ if $q \neq q_0$, and $\lambda'(q, a) = \lambda(\delta(q, a))$ if $q = q_0$ or $a \neq \varepsilon$.

In the rest of the document, we will assume that a finite automaton with output is a Mealy Machine with ε-moves.

30

3 Synchronization and Negotiation

In this section, we provide a framework for distributive computation. Let us assume that an output of one FA is an input into another FA and vice versa.

The exchange of messages (outputs) between two FAs is called a *negotiation*. A negotiation is successful if both FAs reach a final state.

A system comprising two FAs This can be viewed as having a partial order on all states, such that every non-final state (low state) is smaller than every final state (high state), and the two FAs are negotiating in order to reach a high state. In general, one can introduce an arbitrary partial order on the states.

In this document we consider only a total (linear) order of states and our set of states will be always a subset of the set of all integers Z, with their usual order.

A negotiation is called *incremental* if during the negotiation a state can be changed only to the next higher state or to any lower state.

We decompose a distributive computation into two parts, a communication part and an actual computation part. In order to do that, we need the following definition.

3.1   Sum of FAs

We will call a state of an FA *reachable* if it can be reached from its initial state. Let $A=(Q, \Sigma, \Delta, \delta, \lambda, q_0)$ be an automaton with output such that $\Sigma = \Delta$ and let $P \supseteq Q$ be a subset of integers. We define an automaton $A^P = (P, \Sigma, \Delta, \delta^P, \lambda^P, q_0)$ which agrees with A on all reachable states as follows:

$\delta^P (q, m) = \delta (q, m)$ if $q \in Q$ and $\delta^P (q, m) = q$ otherwise,

$\lambda^P (q, m) = \lambda (q, m)$ if $q \in Q$ and $\lambda^P (q, m) = m$ otherwise.

Note that this way we may assume that an automaton transition and output functions are always defined for all integral states, i.e., $Q = Z$. When we specify the state set to be a proper subset of Z, we mean Q to be a set of reachable states and the transition and output functions are defined as above for all other states that do not belong to Q.

5

Let $A_0 = (Q_0, \Sigma_0, \Delta_0, \delta_0, \lambda_0, q_0)$ and $A_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma_1, \Delta_1, \delta_1, \lambda_1, q_1)$ be two finite automata with output such that $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma_1 = \Delta_0 = \Delta_1$ and $Q_0 = Q_1 = Z$.

A *sum* of A_0 and A_1 is a finite automaton with output $A_0 + A_1 = (Q, \Sigma, \Delta, \delta, \lambda, q_2)$, where

10

$Q = Z$,

$\Sigma = \Sigma_0 \times \Sigma_1$ is the Cartesian product of the two input alphabets,

$\Delta = \Delta_0 \times \Delta_1$ is the Cartesian product of the two output alphabets,

$q_2 = q_0 + q_1$ is the sum of the initial states,

15    $\delta(q, m) = \delta(q, (m_0, m_1)) = \delta_0(q, m_0) + \delta_1(q, m_1)$, and

$\lambda(q, m) = \lambda(q, (m_0, m_1)) = (\lambda_0(\delta(q, m), m_0), \lambda_1(\delta(q, m), m_1)$.

One can observe that the sum of two FAs with output is again a well-defined FA with output.

3.2   Decomposition

Let us assume that two FAs are trying to reach their final states incrementally. We would like to design a communication mechanism for them to achieve this goal, which is robust with respect to failures. The exact meaning of this will become clear later.

25

We decompose an FA A into the sum of two FAs, an external FA A_E and an internal FA A_I. The function of the external part is to follow an incremental negotiation. The function of the internal part is to do the actual computation. In particular, the messages will have also the external (negotiation) part and the internal (data) part.

30

Formally, let us denote $A = A_E + A_I$, where $A = (Q, \Sigma, \Delta, \delta, \lambda, q_0)$, $A_E = (Q_E, \Sigma_E, \Delta_E, \delta_E, \lambda_E, q_E)$, and $A_I = (Q_I, \Sigma_I, \Delta_I, \delta_I, \lambda_I, q_I)$. If the internal computation computing the transition δ

returns 0 on success and a negative integer on a failure, one can observe that $(Q, \Sigma, \Delta, \delta, \lambda, q_0)$ is incremental providing that A_E is incremental.

We choose reachable states of Q_E to be a subset of nonnegative integers and reachable states of Q_I to be a subset of nonpositive integers. We can consider the reachable states of the internal FA A_I to be the error level of the internal computation and the reachable states of the external FA A_E to be the level of the negotiation.

Note that if the internal computation is successful (returns 0), then the negotiation progresses incrementally to higher states following the external FA. On the other hand an internal failure would cause the negotiation to regress to a lower level.

Also, note that the output message consists of the negotiation message and the data output. Next, we define the external part.

4 External FA

In this section, we will talk exclusively about the external part of the FA and we will omit the subscript $_E$. First, we introduce a very simple incremental negotiation, which will be used as a basis for an n-round negotiation.

4.1 Basic n-Round Negotiation

Let us consider an FA with output $F_{2n} = (Q, \Sigma, \Delta, \delta, \lambda, q_0)$, where

$Q = \Sigma = \Delta = \{0, 1, ..., 2n+1\}$ are all integers between 0 and $2n+1$,

$\delta(q_0, \varepsilon) = q_0$, $\delta(q, m) = m+1$ if $0 \leq m \leq 2n$, and $\delta(q, m) = q$ otherwise,

$\lambda(q_0, \varepsilon) = q_0$, $\lambda(q, m) = m+1$ if $1 \leq m \leq 2n$, and $\lambda(q, m) = \varepsilon$ otherwise

for all $q \in Q$ and $m \in \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}$.

Note that defining $\delta(q_0, \varepsilon) = q_0$ is not necessary if we assume that $\varepsilon < m$ for every integer m.

One can notice that the same result would be obtained if we had used two FAs $E_{2n} = (Q_0, \Sigma_0, \Delta_0, \delta, \lambda, 0)$ and $O_{2n+1} = (Q_1, \Sigma_1, \Delta_1, \delta, \lambda, 1)$, where

$Q_0 = \Sigma_0 = \Delta_1 = \{0, 2, ..., 2n\}$ are all even numbers between 0 and $2n$,

5　　$Q_1 = \Sigma_1 = \Delta_0 = \{1, 3, ..., 2n+1\}$ are all odd numbers between 0 and $2n+1$,

$\delta(q, m) = m+1$ if $0 \leq m \leq 2n$, and $\delta(q, m) = q$ otherwise,

$\lambda(0, \varepsilon) = 0$, $\lambda(1, \varepsilon) = 1$, $\lambda(q, m) = m+1$ if $1 \leq m \leq 2n$, and $\lambda(q, m) = \varepsilon$ otherwise

for all $q \in Q_0 \cup Q_1$ and $m \in \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_1$.

10　　These two automata are incremental with respect to the natural order of integers. They are identical and the only difference is their initial state. Moreover, both of them use $n+1$ states and hence the negotiation requires n rounds to get to the highest state. Therefore, we can use F_{2n} as a basic external part.

15　　**4.2　　Component Failure**

Practical applications should be able to handle failures of other components or failures of communication links. We will first modify F_{2n} to address the component failures.

Note that if one of two negotiating automata F_{2n} fails and restarts then the other automaton

20　　could bring it into a high state without renegotiating the state. Therefore, we modify our automaton F_{2n} as follows. Let $G_{2n} = (Q, \Sigma, \Delta, \delta, \lambda, q_0)$, where

$Q = \Sigma = \Delta = \{0, 1, ..., 2n+1\}$,

$\delta(q, m) = m+1$ if $0 \leq m \leq q+1$ & $m \leq 2n$, and $\delta(q, m) = q$ otherwise,

25　　$\lambda(q_0, \varepsilon) = q_0$, $\lambda(q, m) = m+1$ if $1 \leq m \leq q+1$ & $m \leq 2n$, and $\lambda(q, m) = \varepsilon$ otherwise

for all $q \in Q$ and $m \in \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}$.

This automaton is incremental even in the case of failure recovery, since the added condition $m \leq q+1$ prevents jumping to a high state.

30

4.3 Link Failure

In the case of the communication link failure, it is desirable that each FA times out into its initial state. This can be achieved by adding an ε-transition, which will be executed at regular time intervals including time 0 for the initial ε-transition. Therefore we modify G_{2n} and let $H_{2n} = (Q, \Sigma, \Delta, \tau, q_0)$, where

$Q = \Sigma = \Delta = \{0, 1, ..., 2n+1\}$,

$\delta(q, \varepsilon)=q-2$ if $2 \leq q$, $\delta(q, m)=m+1$ if $1 \leq m \leq q+1$ & $m \leq 2n$, and $\delta(q, m)=q$ otherwise,

$\lambda(q_0, \varepsilon)=q_0$, $\lambda(q, \varepsilon)=q-2$ if $2 \leq q$, $\lambda(q, m)=m+1$ if $1 \leq m \leq q+1$ & $m \leq 2n$, and $\lambda(q, m)=\varepsilon$ otherwise

for all $q \in Q$ and $m \in \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}$.

This automaton will gradually time out to its initial state and we have and external automaton, which is robust to component and link failures.

5 Distributed Computation

Let us consider a more general situation. Assume we have a distributed computation involving k FA's $A^1, A^2, ...,A^k$, which need to communicate/negotiate between themselves. Each negotiation has an *initiator*, which initializes the communication and a *provider*, which usually provides some information or service to the initiator.

We represent each A^i as the sum $A^i_I + A^i_E$ of the internal FA A^i_I and the external FA A^i_E.

In order to enable the external part to communicate with more than one of the other FAs, we need the following definition.

5.1 Product of FAs

A *product* of two FAs $A_0 = (Q_0, \Sigma_0, \Delta_0, \delta_0, \lambda_0, q_0)$ and $A_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma_1, \Delta_1, \delta_1, \lambda_1, q_1)$ is an FA $A_0 \times A_1 = (Q, \Sigma, \Delta, \delta, \lambda, q_2)$ where

$Q = Q_0 \times Q_1$ is the Cartesian product of the two state sets,

$\Sigma = \Sigma_0 \times \Sigma_1$ is the Cartesian product of the two input alphabets,

$\Delta = \Delta_0 \times \Delta_1$ is the Cartesian product of the two output alphabets,

$\delta = \delta_0 \times \delta_1$ is the Cartesian product of the state functions,

5    $\lambda = \lambda_0 \times \lambda_1$ is the Cartesian product of the output functions, and

$q_2 = q_0 \times q_1$ is the Cartesian product of the initial states.

One can easily check that the product of two FAs is again an FA.

5.2 Factorization

Now we can factor each automaton A^i_E into a product $\Pi_j A^{ij}_E$, where A^{ij}_E handles the j-th negotiation of the automaton A^i_E. One can view this as a factorization of A^i_E into

5   communication channels. We also assume that each of the A^{ij}_Es is H_{2n} for some $n>0$.

We interpret the first round of negotiation as a discovery phase. A provider is in even states ($q_0 = 0$) and a message 0 is an "advertise service" message, which is sent when the service starts. On provider failure, it brings the initiator, which had required this service, into the

10   initial state. Other FAs can cache this message in case their current provider fails. An initiator is in odd states ($q_0 = 1$) and message 1 is a discovery service message. Both of the initial messages 0 and 1 are sent to all FAs and we call it a *zero* phase of negotiation.

This interaction between initiators and providers introduces a dependency relation between

15   the A^{ij}_Es whose negotiations have passed the zero phase. We say that A^s_E is *dependent* on A^t_E if in the factorization of A^s_E there is and initiator and in the factorization of A^t_E there is a provider of the same negotiation which has passed the zero phase. A cyclic dependency is called a *deadlock* and if such dependency exists, none of the involved parties can reach its final state.

20

A *starvation* is a situation where an initiator cannot find a provider to reach its final state.

5.3 Deadlock and Starvation Detection

25   With each $A^i_E = \Pi_j A^{ij}_E$ we associate two vectors p^i and r^i with coordinates $s^i_j=(-1)^{q^i_j}$ and $r^i_j= [q^i_j/2]$, where q^i_j denotes the state of the automaton A^{ij}_E, and $[x]$ denotes the integer part of x.

After each round of negotiation we have:
$$u = \Sigma_i \; s^i . r^i \; = \Sigma_{i,j} \; s^i_j r^i_j \; = \Sigma_{i,j} \; (-1)^{q^i_j} \; [q^i_j/2] \; = \; 0,$$

30   where $x . y$ denotes the scalar product of vectors x and y. If u deviates from 0, we have an indication that the negotiation protocol is not implemented properly.

If χ_A denotes the characteristic function of a set A, i.e., $\chi_A(x)=1$ if x in A and $\chi_A(x)=0$ otherwise and N denotes the set of positive integers, then the total number of negotiations which have passed the initial state is

$$m = \tfrac{1}{2}\,\Sigma_i\,\chi_N(r^i) = \tfrac{1}{2}\,\Sigma_{i,j}\,\chi_N(r^i_j) = \tfrac{1}{2}\,\Sigma_{i,j}\,\chi_N\left([q^i_j/2]\right),$$

5 since every negotiation is counted twice, once for the initiator and once for the provider.

If all negotiations which have passed their zero state have reached the final state q, then $[q/2]=n$. Therefore if 1 denotes a vector with all coordinates equal to 1,

$$r = \Sigma_i\,r^i.1 = \Sigma_{i,j}\,r^i_j = \Sigma_{i,j}\,[q^i_j/2] = 2mn,$$

10 since every negotiation is counted twice.

Finally, if the number of initiators is the same as the number of providers then

$$s = \Sigma_i\,s^i.1 = \Sigma_{i,j}\,s^i_j = \Sigma_{i,j}\,(-1)^{q^i_j} = 0.$$

The positive value of s indicates that there are more providers than necessary and hence the system is *underutilized*. The negative value of s indicates that there are not enough providers

15 to satisfy needs of initiators and hence the network is *saturated*.

Let us assume that every provider caches all its potential initiators and that every initiator caches all its potential providers. Moreover, assume that every potential initiator and every

20 potential provider has a nonzero probability to be chosen. In such a case, the only possibility for starvation is that there is no potential provider. The initiator can detect this by checking to see whether the cache of its potential providers is empty.

In a deadlock-free situation, every party that has passed its zero phase will reach its final

25 state. In this situation we have $r = 2mn$. In a case of deadlock, the dependent parties are not in their final states and hence they contribute to r less then n. This means that $r < 2mn$ over a longer period of time indicates a deadlock.

5.4   Deadlock and Starvation Prevention

30

In order to prevent deadlock and starvation, we cause every provider to cache all of its potential initiators and every initiator to cache all of its potential providers. This means that every initial message (message 0 and 1) is cached by every potential party. Moreover, we ask

that when an initiator (provider) passes its zero phase, it will probabilistically choose a potential provider (initiator) from its cache such that every cached entry will have nonzero probability to be chosen.

5 We also modify H_{2n}. Let w be a discrete random variable having values 0 and 1 with a probability p and let $K_{2n}(p) = (Q, \Sigma, \Delta, \tau, q_0)$, where

$Q = \Sigma = \Delta = \{0, 1, ..., 2n+1\}$,

$\delta(q, \varepsilon) = (q-2)(1-w)+q_0w$ if $2 \leq q$, $\delta(q, m) = m+1$ if $0 \leq m \leq q+1$ & $m \leq 2n$, and $\delta(q, m) = q$

10 otherwise,

$\lambda(q_0, \varepsilon) = q_0$, $\lambda(q, \varepsilon) = (q-2)(1-w)+q_0w$ if $2 \leq q$, $\lambda(q, m) = m+1$ if $1 \leq m \leq q+1$ & $m \leq 2n$,

and $\lambda(q, m) = \varepsilon$ otherwise

for all $q \in Q$ and $m \in \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}$.

15 This automaton will time out to its initial state with probability p from any state. One can observe that $H_{2n} = K_{2n}(0)$ and if p small then $K_{2n}(p)$ will behave on average as H_{2n}.

This way a starvation or a deadlock will be avoided if it is not inherent, which means if it is theoretically possible to avoid it. For instance, if there is no provider which can provide a

20 service for the initiator then the starvation is not even theoretically possible to avoid. Similarly, if every possible configuration of negotiations has a cyclical dependency then the deadlock is not possible to avoid.

5.5 Random Choice

In this section we describe how to randomly choose an initiator or a provider from all potential initiators or providers in order to prevent deadlock and starvation. On the other hand if we have some information about the network of our FAs, we can also make our choice sensible to some preference function. For instance we may want to decrease network traffic and/or response time.

Formally, let us assume that for every two different automata A^i and A^j we have given a positive real number $d(A^i, A^j)$. This number can be for instance the time of a round trip for a small message from A^i to A^j. If the function d is not given, we assume that $d(A^i, A^j) = 1$. If an initiator or a provider automaton A has in its cache automata $B_1, ..., B_k$ as potential providers or initiators in the zero phase then the probability $Pr(B_j)$ that it chooses automaton B_j for the negotiation is

$$Pr(B_j) = d^{-1}(A, B_j)(\Sigma_i d^{-1}(A, B_i))^{-1}.$$

Note that if for all $i = 1, ... k$, $d(A, B_i) = 1$ then the probability is $1/k$. On the other hand if the function d is known, it can be viewed as a pseudometrics and in that case A would more likely negotiate with a closer automaton.

6 Unknown Parameters

Note that we did not describe what are or how to obtain the value of time interval between the ε-transitions and the value of probability p.

In this section we describe a simple way how to dynamically obtain values of unknown parameter under a mild assumption. We also assume that even though we do not know the precise value of y, we can find out whether any guessed value u is smaller or larger then y. Therefore we assume that we are given a function Θ such that

$\Theta(u) = -1$ if $y < u$, $\Theta(u) = 0$ if $y = u$, and $\Theta(u) = 1$ if $u < y$.

We will use the following simple routine to estimate y, where x is the current estimation and can be initialized to any value, v is the first difference of the current estimation, which can be initialized to any nonzero value and c can be initialized to any value greater than 1.

```
5    float Estimate(float x)
     {
             static float v = 1;
             float c = 2;


10           if (v*Θ(x)) > 0) v *= c;
             else v /= -(c + 1);


             return x + v;
     }
15
```

If we define $x_{n+1} = Estimate(x_n)$ then one can observe that $|x_{n+2} - y| < |x_n - y|c/(c+1)$. Therefore if y is a fixed unknown parameter and if we initialize x to 0 and v to 1 then after $2(|log\ y| + |log(2^{-n})|)/(log(c+1) - log(c))$ steps we will obtain x which satisfies $|x - y| < 2^{-n}$. In particular for $c = 2$ we will have a value which approximates the unknown parameter y within absolute error 2^{-n} in $3.42(|log_2\ y| + n)$ steps.

This algorithm can be also used for estimation of a parameter value that varies over time, which is usually a case in real time situations. In the case that we need an upper bound y_0 and lower bound y_1 on our estimated parameter where $y_0 < y_1$ then we can update the current estimate x with the following call to this function:

```
z = Estimate(x);
if (z < y_0) z = y_0;
if (y_1 < z) z = y_1;
30   x = z;
```

Therefore, if we know whether to increment or decrement the value of our unknown parameter then we can use this algorithm to obtain a value, which closely approximates our parameter in a few steps.

6.1 Timeout

In order to know whether the value of interval t between ε-transitions should be increased or decreased let us recall why we introduced this transition. It was introduced when we were considering a communication link failure and therefore t should reflect our confidence whether communication link failure will occur. In other words, t should be inversely proportional to the probability of the link failure and hence if we renegotiate a state after ε-transition with the same automaton within one round then we should increase t and we should decrease t otherwise.

6.2 Probability

In the case of the value of probability p, let us recall that p was introduce in order to avoid deadlock and starvation. Therefore, p should be proportional to probability that deadlock or starvation occurs. This means that if we renegotiate a state with the same automaton after reaching zero phase (which happens with probability p after every ε-transition) then we should decrease p and we should increase p otherwise.

7 References

[1] Hopcroft J.E., and Ullman J.D.: *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages and Computation*, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi 1988.